



300 DELAWARE AVENUE • SUITE 200 • WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801
TEL: (302) 472.7300 • FAX: (302) 472.7320 • WWW.HEGH.LAW

Direct Dial: (302) 472-7315
Email: shirzel@hegh.law

May 8, 2023

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Leonard P. Stark
United States District Court
District of Delaware
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building
844 N. King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: *Crystallex International Corp. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela*,
Case No. 17-mc-151

OI European Group B.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela,
Case No. 19-mc-290

*Phillips Petroleum Company Venezuela Limited and ConocoPhillips
Petrozuata B.V. v. Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. and PDVSA Petróleo, S.A.*,
Case No. 19-mc-342

*ConocoPhillips Gulf of Paria B.V., v. Corporación Venezolana del Petróleo S.A.
and Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A.*, Case No. 22-mc-264

*Northrop Grumman Ship Systems, Inc. v. The Ministry of Defense of the Republic
of Venezuela*, Case No. 20-mc-257

*ACL1 Investments Ltd., ACL2 Investments Ltd., and LDO (Cayman) XVIII Ltd. v.
Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela*, Case No. 21-mc-46

Rusoro Mining Limited v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Case No. 21-mc-481

*Red Tree Investments, LLC v. Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. and PDVSA Petróleo,
S.A.*, Case Nos. 22-mc-68 and 22-mc-69

*Koch Minerals Sàrl, Koch Nitrogen International Sàrl v. Bolivarian Republic of
Venezuela*, Case No. 22-mc-156

Gold Reserve Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Case No. 22-mc-453

Dear Judge Stark:

Pursuant to this Court's orders entered on April 4, 2023, directing the parties to "meet and confer and, no later than 7 days after the Supplemental Report is filed in Crystallex (17-mc-151), submit a joint status report with a proposed briefing schedule regarding which judgments should



The Honorable Leonard P. Stark
 May 8, 2023
 Page 2

be regarded as ‘Additional Judgments’ under the Sale Procedures Order (id. D.I. 481),”¹ *see e.g.*, Case No. 19-mc-290, D.I. 134, I write on behalf of the following parties in the above-captioned proceedings: (i) Crystallex International Corporation (“Crystallex”), (ii) OI European Group B.V. (“OIEG”), (iii) Phillips Petroleum Company Venezuela Limited and ConocoPhillips Petrozuata B.V. (collectively, “ConocoPhillips”), (iv) Northrop Grumman Ship Systems, Inc., f/k/a Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc., and now known as Huntington Ingalls Incorporated (“Huntington Ingalls”), (v) ACL1 Investments Ltd., ACL2 Investments Ltd., and LDO (Cayman) XVIII Ltd. (collectively, “ACL”), (vi) Rusoro Mining Limited (“Rusoro”), (v) Red Tree Investments, LLC (“Red Tree”); (vi) Koch Minerals Sàrl and Koch Nitrogen International Sàrl (collectively, “Koch”), (vii) Gold Reserve Inc. (“Gold Reserve”), (viii) Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. (“PDVSA”), (ix) Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (the “Republic,” or “Venezuela”), (x) PDV Holding, Inc. and CITGO Petroleum Corp. (collectively, the “PDV Parties”), and (xi) Special Master Robert B. Pincus (the “Special Master”).

On May 3, 2023, the parties met and conferred and subsequently provided comments regarding the contents of this Joint Status Report. On May 4, 2023, this Court entered a Memorandum Order attaching an OFAC specific license authorizing the issuance and service of a writ of attachment for parties with an “Additional Judgment” under the Sale Procedures Order. See Case No. 17-mc-151, D.I. 555 (the “May 4 Notice”). On May 5, 2023, in the attachment proceedings of OIEG, Huntington Ingalls, ACL, Rusoro, Gold Reserve and Koch, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals entered a “temporary administrative stay . . . pending further order of th[e] Court” (the “Temporary Stay”), and referred Venezuela’s motion to stay those cases to the panel “that will decide the merits of these appeals.” The Third Circuit ordered that briefing be expedited and completed by June 9, 2023, and that the appeals “will proceed to a merits panel for disposition promptly after all briefs have been filed.” *See, e.g.*, Case No. 19-mc-290, D.I. 148.

OIEG, Huntington, Rusoro, Koch, ACL and Gold Reserve’s Position

Certain parties, including OIEG, Huntington, Rusoro, Koch, ACL and Gold Reserve believe that, especially in light of the May 4 Notice, there is no further OFAC obstacle to this Court adding any existing conditional writs of attachment as “Additional Judgments” to the Sale Procedures Order.² However, consistent with this Court’s prior request for briefing regarding the

¹ The Supplemental Report was filed after 5:00 p.m. on April 28, 2023, *see* Case No. 17-mc-151, D.I. 553, and is therefore considered to be filed as of Monday, May 1, 2023, for scheduling purposes.

² OIEG does assert, however, that in making a determination with respect to such additions or timing of service of writs this Court should consider the extenuating circumstances of these proceedings including, for example, the fact that, but for the OFAC sanctions, OIEG would have been able to obtain its conditional writ on or before the date ConocoPhillips obtained its conditional writ (March 2, 2022). *See* Opinion, Case No. 19-mc-290, D.I. 109 at 27 n.20 (“The Court is declining to make findings of fact, notwithstanding certain of the judgment creditors’ efforts to make a record on which such findings could be based, because it has concluded that it should first issue its legal conclusions about the impact of the [OFAC] sanctions and determine whether to certify an interlocutory appeal of those conclusions.”).



The Honorable Leonard P. Stark
 May 8, 2023
 Page 3

addition of “Additional Judgments,” OIEG, Huntington, Rusoro, Koch, ACL and Gold Reserve would propose the following questions and briefing schedule:

- Questions to be Addressed:
 1. Which judgments should be regarded as “Additional Judgments” under the Sale Procedures Order entered in the *Crystalex* proceeding?
 2. What procedures should be implemented (or orders entered) to address service and/or priority of the conditional writs of attachment that are added as “Additional Judgments”?
- Briefing Schedule:
 1. Conditional writ holders’ opening briefs due: (i) for any conditional writ holder subject to a stay (including the Temporary Stay), ten (10) days after the Temporary Stay (or any such other applicable stay) is lifted, or (ii) ten (10) days after the Preparation Launch Date
 2. Responses due: ten (10) days after the creditors’ submissions
 3. Replies (if any) due: seven (7) days after the creditors’ submissions

OIEG, Huntington, Rusoro, Koch, ACL and Gold Reserve further submit that the setting of the Preparation Launch Date can and should be delayed briefly into June (which would be consistent with the Special Master’s recommendation that the Launch Date be set no later than September 5, 2023) to allow sufficient time for the Temporary Stay to be lifted (and the appeal merits briefed and potentially decided)³ and to allow the alter ego conditional writ holders to submit briefing on any remaining contested matters, if any (including priority). A brief delay with a further status conference in mid-June (or earlier if the Temporary Stay is lifted before that point) would allow the sale process to proceed in accordance with the Special Master’s proposed schedule, while simultaneously safeguarding the rights of the parties currently holding conditional writs of attachment.

Crystalex’s Position

Crystalex believes that the Court should adhere to the Sale Procedures Order and adopt the Special Master’s recommendation that “preparations for the launch of the Marketing Process begin without any further delay” with a Preparation Launch Date “[a]s soon as practicable after June 5, 2023” and a Launch Date no later than September 5, 2023. Case No. 17-mc-151, D.I. 553 ¶ 7. Consistent with the Sale Procedures Order, Case No. 17-mc-151, D.I. 481, other creditors whose cases are not stayed should then have 10 days after the Preparation Launch Date to file submissions with the Court as to why they meet their burden to show they should be included as “Additional Judgments.” Any creditors who submit to have their judgments included can express views on where they fall in priority—after Crystalex—for the final sale in early 2024. In order to assist the Court to “decide in accordance with applicable law which, if any,” of the submissions

³ As of the date of this Joint Status Report, certain of the conditional writ holders subject to the Temporary Stay intend to file a motion with the Third Circuit to reconsider and/or vacate the Temporary Stay.



The Honorable Leonard P. Stark
May 8, 2023
Page 4

should be included as Additional Judgments, *id.* ¶ 30, Crystalex proposes that the Court adopt the following briefing schedule:

1. Creditors file with the Court their submissions for inclusion as “Additional Judgments”: 10 days after the Preparation Launch Date
2. Responses due: 10 days after creditors’ submissions
3. Replies (if any) due: 7 days after responses

This proposed briefing schedule is consistent with the timing allowed for briefs under the proposed schedule of OIEG, *supra*, and would permit the Court to consider and rule on these submissions by the Sale Procedures Order’s deadline of “no later than ten calendar days after the Launch Date,” based on the Special Master’s recommendation that the Launch Date be set as soon as practicable and no later than September 5, 2023. Case No. 17-mc-151, D.I. 481 ¶ 30; D.I. 553 ¶ 7.

In light of the stay issued by the Third Circuit on May 5, 2023 as to creditors asserting “alter ego” claims, Crystalex understands that only three creditors (*i.e.*, those creditors making direct claims against PDVSA: ConocoPhillips, Red Tree, and Siemens Energy, Inc.) would be potentially eligible to seek consideration for inclusion as “Additional Judgments,” unless the Third Circuit fails to continue the current stay as to the alter-ego creditors beyond the completion of expedited briefing in those cases, which is scheduled to conclude on June 9, 2023. *See* Order, Doc. 24, No. 23-1647 (3d Cir. May 5, 2023) (staying proceedings as to OEIG, Huntington Ingalls, ACL, Rusoro, Koch, and Gold Reserve until further order of the Third Circuit). If the stay is not continued, the alter-ego creditors could potentially seek inclusion as “Additional Judgments,” but since they are differently situated from creditors making direct claims against PDVSA and may have appeals pending, the Court’s decision as to them may be different in any event.

Should the Court of Appeals maintain a stay of proceedings as to the alter-ego creditors beyond June 9, 2023 (or issue any new stay as to any creditor), this Court should not consider those creditors’ judgments for inclusion as “Additional Judgments.” It is unknowable how long the alter-ego creditors’ appeals will take to resolve, and proceedings in those cases are stayed “pending further order” by the Third Circuit. Case No. 19-mc-290, D.I. 148. Crystalex respectfully submits that in light of the extraordinary delay and expense that have attended to its years-long effort to enforce its rights, under no circumstances should the Court delay the launch of the sale process, or any other deadlines under the Sale Procedures Order, pending the appellate process for any other creditors whose proceedings may be stayed.

ConocoPhillips’ Position

ConocoPhillips recognizes the Court entered the order calling for this joint status report in the Alter Ego Cases (as defined below), and those cases have since been administratively stayed by the Third Circuit. In ConocoPhillips’ view, it is nonetheless appropriate for the parties to file this joint status report either in the Alter Ego Cases or instead in Crystalex.



The Honorable Leonard P. Stark
May 8, 2023
Page 5

ConocoPhillips repeats its request for its judgments to be added as “Additional Judgments” to the Sale Procedures Order in Crystalex and refers the Court to its May 1, 2023 motion for the Court to direct the issuance and service of ConocoPhillips’ writs of attachment. In the alternative, ConocoPhillips requests that the Court set a briefing schedule on Additional Judgments and related priority issues.

ConocoPhillips respectfully submits that, in light of the May 4 Notice, there is no further obstacle to this Court adding ConocoPhillips’ judgments as Additional Judgments to the Sale Procedures Order in Crystalex, and that the Court should add them at the earliest possible opportunity. The only objection that has ever been raised to ConocoPhillips’ Additional Judgment status has been based on the lack of a perfected attachment due to OFAC sanctions, an obstacle that has now been removed. In addition, ConocoPhillips believes that the Court should now issue and direct service of ConocoPhillips’ writs of attachments, for which the only remaining obstacle was lack of OFAC clearance. As ConocoPhillips argued last week (D.I. 52 in Case No. 19-mc-432), and which OFAC’s grant of a license now makes abundantly clear, OFAC is no longer standing in the way of creditors obtaining perfected attachments on the PDVH shares. And as argued in last week’s filing, but for OFAC sanctions, ConocoPhillips would have perfected long ago and ahead of all of the creditors in the Alter Ego Cases, such that there is no other obstacle preventing ConocoPhillips from now proceeding to perfect its attachments.

The Court can and should enter orders providing for service of the writs of attachment in the following order: First, the writ in *Phillips Petroleum Company Venezuela Limited et al. v. Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. et al.*, No. 19-mc-342-LPS; Second, the writ in *Red Tree Investments, LLC v. Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. and PDVSA Petróleo, S.A.*, Nos. 22-mc-68 and 22-mc-69 and Third, the writ in *ConocoPhillips Gulf of Paria B.V. v. Corporación Venezolana Del Petrólio, S.A., et al.*, No. 1:22-mc-00264-LPS.

To the extent that this Court believes that further briefing is necessary, ConocoPhillips joins OIEG’s position regarding the questions to be addressed. ConocoPhillips proposed briefing schedule is as follows:

1. Conditional writ holders’ opening briefs due: May 19, 2023
2. Responses due: May 30, 2023
3. Replies (if any) due: June 6, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Samuel T. Hirzel, II

Samuel T. Hirzel, II (#4415)
Counsel for Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A.

cc: All Counsel of Record (via e-filing)